

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,477	09/18/2003	David L. Evans	HO-P02228US1	8875
26271	7590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY			REIMERS, A	NNETTE R
SUITE 5100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095			3733	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,477	EVANS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Annette R. Reimers	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/18/03, 02/04/04, 03/23/05	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Application/Control Number: 10/666,477

Art Unit: 3733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Raab (U.S. Patent Number 4,281,420).

Raab discloses a prosthesis for implantation in a patient comprising a prosthesis body, 1, comprising a substrate material, wherein the prosthesis body comprises an implant portion for inserting into the body tissue of the patient, a bearing surface, 3, on the prosthesis body comprised of an abrasion resistant surface and a counter-bearing surface, 2, comprising cross-linked polyethylene and adapted to cooperate with the bearing surface (see figures 1 and 2, column 6, lines 8-68 and column 7, lines 1-3). Furthermore, the prosthesis is capable of accommodating tissue in-growth on a portion of the prosthesis body.

Claims 7-8, 11 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pope et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,290,726).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,477

Art Unit: 3733

Pope et al. teaches a vertebral disc prosthesis comprising two prosthesis plates, 2051 and 2052, and a prosthesis core, 2053, wherein the prosthesis core cooperates with at least one prosthesis plate at surface permitting a rotational movement around a vertical axis and wherein the prosthesis can comprise at least one surface of cross-linked polyethylene and at least one component formed of zirconium or zirconium alloy (see figure 2Z, column 4, lines 42-53 and column 11, lines 13-39). Pope et al. further teaches an irregular textured surface structure, 234, that is adapted to accommodate tissue in-growth on a portion of the prosthesis (see alternative embodiment of Figure 2G and column 12, lines 25-34). In addition, the irregular surface structure comprises anchoring projections, 220a and 220b (see alternative embodiment of Figure 2I).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armini et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,674,293) in view of Raab (U.S. Patent Number 4,281,420).

Armini et al. disclose a prosthesis for implantation in a patient comprising a prosthesis body comprising a substrate material, wherein the prosthesis body comprises an implant portion, 1, for inserting into the body tissue of the patient, a

bearing surface, 2, on the prosthesis body comprised of an abrasion resistant surface and a counter-bearing surface, 3, adapted to cooperate with the bearing surface and at least one component formed of zirconium or zirconium alloy and has at least one surface of blue-black or black oxidized zirconium (see figure 1, abstract, and column 4, lines 30-64) Furthermore, the prosthesis body further comprises at least one substrate layer having a depth-dependent variable concentration of zirconium (see column 4, lines 30-64). Moreover, the prosthesis is capable of accommodating tissue in-growth.

Page 4

Armini et al. discloses the claimed invention except at least one surface being of cross-linked polyethylene. Raab discloses a prosthesis comprising cross-linked polyethylene and teaches that implants being made of chromium-cobalt-molybdenum alloy, stainless steel, titanium alloys and polymerized materials, such as UHMWPE have been successfully used to replace ends of long bones and joints (see column 1, lines 16-21). Raab further teaches the use of cross-linked polyethylene in order to increase the mechanical strength of the surface region, wherein a weak boundary layer is transformed into a strong boundary layer (see column 6, lines 60-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the prosthetic device of Armini et al. with cross-linked polyethylene, in view of Raab, as such would merely constitute a substitution of functionally equivalent structures. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the prosthetic device of Armini et al. with cross-linked polyethylene, in view of Raab, in order to increase the mechanical

. . . . .

strength of the surface region, such that a weak boundary layer is transformed into a strong boundary layer.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armini et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,674,293).

Armini et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the surface of blue-black or black oxidized zirconium being from about 1 to 20 microns thick or from about 1 to 5 microns thick. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Armini with the surface of blue-black or black oxidized zirconium being from about 1 to 20 microns thick or from about 1 to 5 microns thick, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 for art cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,477

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR

EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6